



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,954	06/10/2008	Min-Woo Lee	P-0769	4793
34610 7590 01/19/2011 KED & ASSOCIATES, LLP P.O. Box 8638 Reston, VA 20195				
EXAMINER				
JACOBS, TODD D				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3746				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/19/2011		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/572,954	Applicant(s) LEE, MIN-WOO	
	Examiner TODD D. JACOBS	Art Unit 3746	

All Participants:(1) TODD D. JACOBS.(2) CAROL DRUZBICK.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 January 2011**Time:** noon**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant and examiner discussed the phrase "at a same round" because examiner wasn't sure how to interpret such a line. It was agreed that the same round indicated the number of round of coil away from the mass part, and that the first and second elastic parts had different pitches on corresponding rounds..